

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,486	07/21/2003	Pierre Garnier	235812US26CONT	4972
	7590 01/17/2007 AK, MCCLELLAND, MA	IER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	EXAM	INER
1940 DUKE ST	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		HUGHES, ALICIA R	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1614	
CHORTENED OT ATHEODY				
SHUKTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 D	AYS	01/17/2007		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/622,486	GARNIER, PIERRE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alicia R. Hughes	1614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Ju</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-53 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

Specie Election

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species, and a choice of one from each of the following four species sets are required to be elected for examination:

- A cosmetic or care product system that doesn't contain an anti-wrinkle product or 1) that does contain an anti-wrinkle product.
- A cosmetic or care product system that contains an adhesive test device or a non-2) adhesive test device.
- A cosmetic or care product system that contains a test device with images which 3) correspond to various degrees of aging of the skin or a test device that does not contain images which correspond to various degrees of aging of the skin.

The species are independent or distinct because, in the case of cosmetic or care products that are and are not anti-wrinkle, a search for would not necessarily yield results for the other, because there are variable causes to the need for use of the products. For example, acne care products would not necessarily yield results for anti-wrinkle care products, because acne, is in great part caused by factors such as hormones, extra oil production in the body, bacteria or inflammation or uneven skin shedding, affecting people of all ages while anti-wrinkle treatments are necessitated as a result of aging. The variable causes for the treatment would require variable searches, yielding variable results. In the case of adhesive and non-adhesive test devices, a search for one would not necessarily yield results for the other either. For example, nonadhesive test devices might include cameras while adhesive test devices might include cloth Application/Control Number: 10/622,486

Art Unit: 1614

strips with adherent surfaces. In addition to utilization for imaging in cosmetic and care product systems, cameras for example, could have additional uses in laboratory microscopy associated with research for variable diseases and conditions such as cancer, angiogenesis, etc. while adhesive test devices, such as bandages, might be utilized to aid wound healing. Since each can be related to treatment regimes in variable disciplines, the fields of search would be different and a search for one would not necessarily yield results for the other.

With regard to the test devices with and without images that correspond to various degrees of aging, again, a search for one will not necessarily yield results for the other, because certain moieties present in a test device in which images may correspond to varying degrees of aging of the skin would not necessarily be present in a test device in which the images may not correspond to varying degrees of aging of the skin. For example, a test device with images that correspond to various degrees of aging may contain, for example, a powder that is a water-dispersible titanium oxide while a test device without images that correspond to various degrees of aging may not.

The applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species within each group for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 54-64 are generic.

The applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected in each group consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,486

Art Unit: 1614

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP §809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that in order for the reply to this requirement to be complete, it must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia Hughes whose telephone number is 571-272-6026. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:00 A.M. until 5:00 P.M. on Monday through Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,486 Page 5

Art Unit: 1614

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel, can be reached at 571-272-0718. The fax number for the organization where this application is proceeding is assigned 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

8 January 2007 ARH

> ARDIN H. MARSCHEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER